

DRAFT Meeting Minutes Friday, 10 December 2021  
TAP Framework Implementation Review Committee (FIRC)  
Co-chairs Heidi Lockwood (SCSU) & Sarah Selke (TRCC)

Members Present: Sarah Selke, Co-Chair, (TRCC), Heidi Lockwood, Co-Chair (SCSU), Anita Lee (ECSU), Jennifer “Jen” Wittke (TxCC), Mark Lynch (GCC), Matthew Dunne (HCC), Becky DeVito (CCC), Joseph Berenguel (ACC), Jamie Begian (WCSU), Frank Stellabotte Secretary (MxCC), Gail Anne Arroyo (MCC), Maura O’Connor (MCC), Paul Morganti (COSC), Kaitlyn Hoffman (SCSU), Sharon Cox (CCSU), Amy Lenoce (NVCC)

TAP Manager: Steve Marcelynas  
Members Absent: Brian Lynch (QVCC)

---

Meeting Called to Order at 9:35

Approval of November minutes with changes. Members discussed how changes to minutes will be handled in the future using comments and track changes.

Result of Vote: Unanimous

TAP Manager Report (posted in Teams)

S.M. discussed a “safe courses” list which is a list of FW30 vetted courses that will transfer seamlessly to its associated category at the receiving CSU institution. He discussed forming a Safe Group Task force and asked for suggestions for recruitment. A member discussed that faculty at one CSU felt this was a top-down approach. A member pointed out that this would be advantageous to CSUs who have escaped compulsory alignment. Members pointed out that CSUs have general education representatives who should be asked to serve on this task force. A member pointed out that selecting representatives from the CCs could mimic the process already in place for selecting FAC representatives.

Finalize the discussion of the SBS SLOs

There were comments questioning the use of “and/or” wording. The committee has decided to change “and/or” to an “inclusive or” going forward. Specific examples where the use of an “or” is preferential were discussed. A member discussed that since groups consist of individuals, the terms can be interchangeable, and one may be more appropriate for a specific discipline. A member pointed out that not all faculty in the social sciences may be able to teach research methods. Members discussed the inclusion of examples of “ethical issues” for the third revised outcome. A member pointed out that examples should not be listed in the SLOs but should be included in the rubrics. A member mentioned that examples were added because there was so much resistance against that SLO.

**Motion:** SBS outcomes ready to be sent out to institutions for a vote of endorsement / non-endorsement when the full Framework30 SLO package is sent for consideration.

Result of Vote: Unanimous with one abstention

Review recommendations for the OC SLOs from the OC subgroup

A member pointed out that the term “oral” was excluded from the third outcome “critically analyze messages” to allow for diverse types of assessment. A member pointed out that OC SLOs may be mapped to “tech. fluency” courses at the CSUs if a student completes an associate degree.

**Motion:** OC outcomes ready to be sent out to institutions for a vote of endorsement / non-endorsement when the full Framework30 SLO package is sent for consideration.

Result of Vote: Unanimous

Finalize the WC SLOs from the WC subgroup

Members discussed that English faculty on their respective campuses were strongly against dividing the outcomes into two “WCA” and “WCB” sets. A member pointed out that the reason that two sets of outcomes were proposed was because of resistance against “thesis driven” language. A member pointed out that these SLOs were revised because of the need to make assessment more feasible by a single artifact. Many English faculty members were against breaking up the outcomes into two sets, so the committee will be using earlier revisions of the outcomes. The committee discussed whether the terms “standard English” and “academic English” are inclusive. The committee discussed seeking feedback from English faculty members (possibly the CCET group) by giving them a choice of two options.

Review recommendations for the A&H SLOs from the A&H subgroup

H.L., S.S. and other members brought the committee up to speed on the work of the A&H subgroup.

S.M. discussed issues designating courses as both SKU and SR.

An additional meeting will be held on Friday, January 21<sup>st</sup>.

Meeting adjourned 12:06 PM.